



**CITY OF WAUWATOSA**  
**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**  
**MINUTES • NOVEMBER 11, 2014**

**Regular Meeting****Committee Room #1****7:00 PM**

7725 West North Avenue, Wauwatosa, WI 53213

**COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE ITEMS**

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Kathleen Causier	Alderwoman	Present	
Craig Wilson	Alderman	Present	
Tim Hanson	Alderman	Present	
Bobby Pantuso	Alderman	Present	
Cheryl Berdan	Alderwoman	Excused	
Matthew Stippich	Alderman	Present	
Michael Walsh	Alderman	Present	
Allison Byrne	Alderwoman	Present	

**2. Memo for the Director of Public Works regarding staff report and recommendation for Center Street sidewalks**

The committee reviewed a memo from the Public Works Director regarding a recommendation for sidewalks along Center Street.

The Community Development Committee needs to make a recommendation to the Common Council requesting the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) to extend public sidewalk along Center Street and the adjoining side streets opposite the three schools as outlined in the Ayres School Crossing Safety Study. This work would be undertaken in 2016 in conjunction with the replacement of the Center Street Bridge.

After an initial meeting on October 14, 2014 the Committee requested that staff host another public information meeting with the residents in the affected area, with details on new information contained in the staff recommendation concerning the winter maintenance offer by the School District, and having the City pay for the installation cost of the sidewalk. All residents were notified of the meeting via a hand delivered letter.

Mr. Porter said that a meeting was held on November 5, 2014 at the Wauwatosa West High School cafeteria. This meeting was attended by City Staff, WisDOT, School District, 7<sup>th</sup> District elected officials, and approximately 14 member of the public.

Mr. Porter requested that the Committee consider whether or not to request that WisDOT include the design and construction of the Center Street sidewalks extending them from 114<sup>th</sup> Street to 116<sup>th</sup> Street at the city's expense.

Additionally, there is also an inclusion of ramps at 111<sup>th</sup> Street and the sidewalk running east from 111<sup>th</sup> Street to the sidewalk past the railroad bridge as discussed at the October 14<sup>th</sup> meeting. These were not part of the original cost estimates as these walks were not part of the Ayres Study long term recommendations.

Mr. Porter explained that there is a sense of urgency to get a formal request into WisDOT if it is decided to request their assistance with the additional work.

Those in attendance in favor of the sidewalk proposal included:

Lisa Baker, 2645 N. 115<sup>th</sup> Street

April Rogers, 2727 N. 118<sup>th</sup> Street  
Sarah Lerand, 2125 N. 90<sup>th</sup> Street  
Stephanie Jungbloth, 1920 N. 119<sup>th</sup> Street  
Jason Kauflin, 4124 N. 110<sup>th</sup> Street  
Amy Johnson, 2034 N. 115<sup>th</sup> Street  
Margaret Justin, 2013 N. 114<sup>th</sup> Street  
Spencer Johnson, Ludington Avenue

Their comments included:

- Children are more valuable than trees
- If sidewalks are available people will use them all year long, not just during the school year
- The safety of people is more important than concrete or trees
- Appreciative of the ADA ramp to be installed
- Education through the Safe Routes to School program is being addressed
- Consistent enforcement will be crucial

Those in attendance opposing the sidewalks:

Arleen Lemmon, 11403 W. Center Street, was opposed to tearing up the neighborhood for a few sidewalks that would only be used a part of the year. She felt that during the winter snowbanks would discourage people from utilizing the sidewalks.

Dr. Ertl responded that the School District is looking for safety in front of the schools. He said that it is safer at the schools for children where there are sidewalks. Parents have repeatedly asked for safer routes to school.

Those in attendance commenting on the plan included:

Jeff Gray 2657 N. 115<sup>th</sup> Street  
Ken Dallmann, 2654 N. 1212<sup>th</sup> Street  
Mike Morgan, 12147 Meadow Court

Their comments included:

- You are missing the mark by piece-mealing the sidewalks, there still will be chaos in front of the schools
- Where is the police enforcement
- Parents dropping off their children are the problem
- No respect for crossing guards, parents cross in the middle of the street with their kids and how are sidewalks going to help that situation
- There needs to be a behavior change if this is going to work
- Communication by the City was lacking

Ald. Wilke said that the discussion of sidewalks on Center Street has been ongoing for years. He thanked City staff for meeting with the residents. He felt it is a great time to do this work, being able to piggy back with WisDOT for the work to be done. He felt that bicycle lanes would help traffic calming and suggested that if the sidewalks are put in, people will use them. Without them, they can't.

Ald. Kofroth explained that the residents on Center Street aren't real happy with the sidewalks either and was concerned that so many neighbors are against this. The residents on the side streets will be impacted by the loss of trees. He said he could support Center Street sidewalks if the side streets are left out of this project.

Ald. Kofroth said he contacted the Police Chief about the residents concerns for lack of police enforcement around the schools. He explained that there is a resource officer at each school every single day. They will be doing something to enforce the rules. Ald. Kofroth suggested residents work with the school resource officers to get something done. In Ald. Berdan's absence, he said that she is supportive of the Center Street sidewalks. The

hold up for the 7<sup>th</sup> District aldermen is the impact of the loss of trees on the side streets.

Ald. Wilke felt strongly that Center Street sidewalks need to be done. He felt that if a compromise is to be made, the city could hold off on the side streets, however, this is where the parents are dropping off their children and would be very beneficial. There will not be a charge to the residents for the installation of the sidewalks, and the winter maintenance will be done by the School District, which is a big benefit.

Ald. Pantuso asked Mr. Porter about the randomness in the footage of the sidewalks on the side streets. Mr. Porter explained that they didn't want to terminate a sidewalk in the middle of a yard, so they went up to the driveway. He noted that 116<sup>th</sup> Street is the busiest so that street has longer sidewalks.

Ald. Wilson said that they have heard tonight more than before that Center Street can benefit from the sidewalks. He expressed concern of the randomness of sidewalk lengths on the various side streets. He suggested that the sidewalk access on 114<sup>th</sup> and 116<sup>th</sup> Streets would be the most beneficial.

Ald. Byrne commented that a lot of parents drop-off their children on these side streets. Parents as well sometimes walk on the streets with strollers accompanying their children to school. If people are to cross the street at crosswalks with crossing guards there needs to be a way to get them there. She felt that the side streets sidewalks should be included in the project.

Dr. Ertl commented that the reality is parents are dropping their children off on these side streets.

Ms. Lerand noted that people do park away from the heavily congested areas to drop off their children; the sidewalks would be helpful.

Ald. Hanson was in favor of the sidewalks on 114<sup>th</sup> and 116<sup>th</sup> Streets since this is where there are crossing guards and appears to be the busiest crossing location. He asked for clarification on exactly what the School District would be providing regarding winter maintenance on Center Street, what about the other locations. A representative from the School District explained that they would maintain all of the side street locations. They would start at one end of the sidewalk with a sidewalk machine and zig-zag all the way down to the end. They would also salt when necessary.

Ald. Hanson questioned who would be taking care of the sidewalk maintenance with the potential of the sidewalk machine and salt causing damage. The School District representative said she felt it would take a significant amount of time before this would cause any damage. Mr. Porter said the sidewalk maintenance would be on the homeowner, the same as the rest of the city. He didn't feel there would be any undue damage by the equipment they plan to use.

Ald. Pantuso commented that the free installation of these sidewalks and the School District providing winter maintenance is an incredible deal.

Moved by Ald. Byrne, seconded by Ald. Causier to recommend approval requesting that the Wisconsin Department of Transportation extend the public sidewalk along the south side of Center Street from 114<sup>th</sup> Street - 117<sup>th</sup> Streets, and on portions of 112<sup>th</sup>, 113<sup>th</sup>, 114<sup>th</sup>, 115<sup>th</sup>, 116<sup>th</sup>, and 117<sup>th</sup> streets, and the addition of an ADA ramp at 111<sup>th</sup> Street and the extension of sidewalks east of the railroad bridge. The cost of the sidewalk installation will be absorbed by the City and no special assessment will be made to the homeowner. The School District will be responsible for winter maintenance of the sidewalks including plowing and de-icing.

Moved by Ald. Wilson, seconded by Ald. Hanson to amend the motion requesting that the Wisconsin Department of Transportation extend the public sidewalk

along the south side of Center Street from 114<sup>th</sup> Street - 117<sup>th</sup> Streets, and only the sidewalk portions on 114<sup>th</sup> and 116<sup>th</sup> Street, where there are crossing guards; and the addition of an ADA ramp at 111<sup>th</sup> Street, and the extension of sidewalks east of the railroad bridge. The cost of the sidewalk installation will be absorbed by the City and no special assessment will be made to the homeowner. The School District will be responsible for winter maintenance of the sidewalks including plowing and de-icing.

Vote on the amended motion: Ayes: 4 Noes: 3 (Stippich, Byrnes, Walsh)

Vote on the original motion as amended: Ayes: 7

As part of the original meeting discussion on October 14, 2014 the following motions were made on the other six items of this project:

**Sidewalk, bike accommodations, and policy discussion associated with the Center Street Bridge Replacement Project in 2016**

1. Center Street Sidewalk/Bike Accommodations (within project limits)

Staff recommended no action for this project element.

2. Center Street Bike Accommodations (outside of project limits)

Moved by Ald. Walsh, seconded by Ald. Hanson to place on file - Ayes: 5 Noes: 1 (Byrne)

3. 112<sup>th</sup> Street Sidewalk and Bike Accommodations

Moved by Ald. Berdan, seconded by Ald. Walsh to recommend that the Trans 75 resolution request exemption from bike accommodations on this section of 112<sup>th</sup> Street - Ayes: 6

Mr. Porter asked if it is the intent of the committee to remain silent on the sidewalk piece of this item.

Moved by Ald. Causier, seconded by Ald. Berdan to accept staff recommendation that a sidewalk be installed on 112<sup>th</sup> Street immediately south of Center Street; and that the Trans 75 resolution be approved requesting that the sidewalks immediately north and south of Meinecke Avenue on 112<sup>th</sup> Street not be installed - Ayes: 6

4. 113<sup>th</sup> Street Bike Accommodations

Moved by Ald. Berdan, second by Ald. Causier to accept staff recommendation that a Trans 75 resolution be filed requesting that no bike lanes be constructed on this section of 113<sup>th</sup> Street - Ayes: 5 Noes: 1 (Byrne)

5. Center Street Sidewalk Extensions as Shown in the School Safety Study

Moved by Ald. Byrne, seconded by Ald. Causier to hold Item #5, Center Street Sidewalk

Extensions until November 11<sup>th</sup>.

Ald. Berdan again asked for a friendly amendment to the motion requesting the City schedule a meeting with Center Street neighbors for their input before the November 11<sup>th</sup> meeting. This was approved by the motioner and seconder.

Moved by Ald. Byrne, seconded by Ald. Causier to hold Item #5 discussion point, Center Street Sidewalk Extensions until November 11<sup>th</sup>, and that a meeting be scheduled by the City for the affected neighbors before the November 11th meeting - Ayes: 6

6. Eisenhower School Drop off Lane

Moved by Ald. Causier, seconded by Ald. Berdan to recommend approval of staff recommendation to have the City request WisDOT to construct a drop-off/pick-up bay as part of their improvement in 2016. The cost of the drop-off/pick-up bay will be assessed to the School District - Ayes: 6

7. Center Street Resurfacing (outside of project limits)

Moved by Ald. Byrne, seconded by Ald. Causier to table this item until the sidewalk issues are decided due to the economy of scale in working with the DOT - Ayes: 4 Noes: 2 (Hanson, Walsh)

<b>RESULT:</b>	<b>RECOMMENDED [5 TO 2]</b>	<b>Next: 11/18/2014 7:30 PM</b>
<b>TO:</b>	Common Council	
<b>MOVER:</b>	Allison Byrne, Alderwoman	
<b>SECONDER:</b>	Kathleen Causier, Alderwoman	
<b>AYES:</b>	Causier, Wilson, Hanson, Pantuso, Byrne	
<b>NAYS:</b>	Stippich, Walsh	
<b>EXCUSED:</b>	Berdan	

3. Memo from the Director of Public Works regarding continued discussion of Verizon Wireless Small Cell Project in the City of Wauwatosa.

At the November 4, 2014 meeting of the Common Council, staff was directed to refer the discussion of the above referenced agreement to the Community Development Committee for further review.

The City of Wauwatosa was approached by Verizon Small Cell wireless for the purpose of allowing installation or replacement of City owned street light poles with attachments for Verizon small cell wireless equipment. These facilities are pictured under option two in the attachment.

The intent of their facilities is to increase broadband capacity within a 500 to 1000 foot radius of the cell.

Verizon’s proposal is for a pilot program to install four towers in the southwest part of the City. These towers will complement their other facilities in Wauwatosa that are mounted on poles owned by WE Energies.

Mr. Kesner explained that Verizon’s proposal is to pay the City \$50 per month per pole plus incidental expenses. This fee structure is consistent with other communities in the region.

The City Attorney advised that he sent off the proposed changes from suggestions received at the Common Council meeting on November 4, 2014 which included: limiting the towers for the four proposed sites, although Verizon would like a process for additional sites without having to go through the approval process each time; terms were changed from a 25-year term to a 10-year term with options for 5-year renewals, Verizon is to provide the electrical power and communication services to the pole, the City will review the devices to be installed along with the locations. Attorney Kesner said he has received a response back from Verizon Wireless and would be reviewing it shortly.

Mark Russert, 4230 N. Oakland, Shorewood, said that the Federal Communication Commission is pushing phone carriers to have these devices installed on existing structures as much as possible.

The City Attorney said that more recent changes to the Telecom Act has limited the ability to zone the details on these kind of sites not on city property. This is a unique agreement as the City is the landlord and Verizon Wireless is renting from us; by co-locating on a city tower and not extending the tower over 15 feet, it is a permitted use.

Mr. Russert said each individual site will have a separate device for each phone carrier. Attorney Kesner said that the City will have control over the numbers of units on a block.

Mr. Russert wanted to make it clear that they would like to have the agreement in place that would cover additional requests after City review. What level of review does the Committee want? Other phone carriers are going to ask to have some parity in coverage.

Attorney Kesner said that the expenditure issue is a budget issue and should be handled by the Budget & Finance Committee.

The committee discussed at length and expressed the following concerns:

- Who would provide electrical power to the pole
- There will be more requests from other cell carriers and there needs to be a policy put in place
- The poles cannot get all junked up with all kinds of cell devices, we cannot pollute the view shed
- Should there be neighborhood notification before these pop up all over the city
- A separate fund should be set up outside of the general fund for this revenue which could be used for bike/pedestrian facilities, greenway space, etc.
- Concern of the aesthetics of having too many devices on a pole
- Approve a policy of best practices with a designated person reviewing the individual sites

Ald. Wilson said this request is not about zoning, but how we want city property being used. The aesthetics of the poles in the city could become a concern. The devices to be installed are approximately 24" in diameter which has the potential to turn the poles in to a curiosity and get junked up. Ald. Wilson's preference would be to locate these devices closer to the intersections and if not on the street pole maybe on the traffic signals.

The City Attorney felt because there is already so much stuff on the traffic signals, that it may be a little more difficult to put these devices there.

Ald. Hanson spoke in favor of a trial program but a hands on approach needs to be taken with these type of requests.

Attorney Kesner said he would put language in the agreement for the four locations, future locations to be pursuant to a policy to be determined. A draft policy will be brought back for approval.

Mr. Russert explained that the radio frequency engineers provided the information for coverage locations. When he reviews the areas in various locations, he looks for WE Energies poles to use and if they are not available they then have to look for a city light pole to use.

Ald. Stippich asked Mr. Russert if they know how many more of these they plan on installing after the trial period. Ald. Stippich didn't feel that tying a program like this to the budget is appropriate in the event the program goes away, then there are tough budget decisions to be made.

Mr. Russert explained that there is no grand plan for locations. It is dependent upon their budget. He explained that Verizon Wireless needs to obtain a right of way permit from the City as well as having an agreement.

Moved by Ald. Wilson, seconded by Ald. Stippich to recommend entering into an agreement with Verizon Wireless for four street light poles with attachments for Verizon small cell equipment, with future additional locations to be pursuant to a policy to be determined with review by the Common Council, and to refer to the Budget & Finance Committee for discussion on where the revenue from this can be utilized outside of the general budget - Ayes: 7

<b>RESULT:</b>	<b>RECOMMENDED [UNANIMOUS]</b>	<b>Next: 11/18/2014 7:30 PM</b>
<b>TO:</b>	Common Council	
<b>MOVER:</b>	Craig Wilson, Alderman	
<b>SECONDER:</b>	Matthew Stippich, Alderman	
<b>AYES:</b>	Causier, Wilson, Hanson, Pantuso, Stippich, Walsh, Byrne	
<b>EXCUSED:</b>	Berdan	

4. Memo from the Administrative Intern including additional information regarding a neighborhood identification sign policy

The purpose of this memo is to communicate the findings from research completed on the following topics:

- Commissioning an artist to consult with each neighborhood association and complete a design in a format to print on a sign
- Research costs on mounting the sign on a decorative pole, finial and brackets
- Meeting with the Neighborhood Association Council(NAC) to determine their desires for the program

The Department of Public Works (DPW) embarked on a project to develop a Neighborhood Association Sign Standards program at the direction of the Community Development Committee, to create a simple set of sign standards that would create a consistent, tasteful, resilient and affordable neighborhood sign that would reflect the individual flavor of our treasured neighborhood associations and implement it in a way that could be easily maintained by our crews over time.

Marion Sodnik, Administrative Intern, explained that the DPW visited the studio of Reginald Baylor, a prominent local artist known regionally and nationally for his ability to portray a variety of subjects, in a variety of media such as museum quality paintings, murals and sign products representing clients from diverse backgrounds.

The studio of Mr. Baylor also houses the studios of other local artists. Mr. Sodnik said that the consulting and design fee for Reginald Baylor would be \$2,900 - \$11,000 per association. Other artists in the studio consulting fee and design fees would start at \$800 per association.

Mr. Sodnik compared this to the City of Milwaukee that does not have an exclusive artist. The individual neighborhoods come up with their own design. The final design must be approved by the City.

The DPW investigated the costs of mounting the signs on an exclusive, decorative pole and bracket. It was also suggested that this program could be part of the proposed landscape architect’s projects. Mr. Sodnik reviewed various poles and brackets with the committee. The cost is as follows:

TAPCO smooth black aluminum poles with base.....	\$179.00
(12 foot tall)	
TAPCO ball finial for pole top.....	\$ 40.00
TAPCO “Elegant Series” leaf bracket \$114.95 each (2 required).....	\$ 229.90
<b>Total cost of pole and bracket</b> per sign location not including concrete base...	<b>\$448.00</b>

Mr. Sodnik said that while the above option is attractive, it could be cost prohibitive to the NAC. The Administrative Intern noted that the Lowell Damon neighborhood is in the process of signage for their neighborhood and they plan on using the plain metal wing brackets at \$18.00 each.

Mr. Sodnik said they met with the neighborhood associations and these concepts were presented to the NAC. A letter from the NAC suggested keeping the process simple, affordable and equitable, so the program is affordable for all associations. Be flexible, clearly define the process with costs, insurance required and the number of signs, ongoing expenses, etc. Make sure that the personality of the neighborhoods is allowed to be expressed.

Mr. Sodnik discussed the application process:

- Association initiates contact
- Association fills out on-line form
- Form collects association contact information, proposed sign locations and design
- City will contact association to review form with contact person
- Design reviewed by City official
- When approved, signs are ordered, payment for installation is made
- Signs are shipped to the City of Wauwatosa sign department and scheduled for installation
- Signs are installed
- Follow-up with association to insure satisfactions with installation

Mr. Sodnik said there would be a cost associated with this of \$50.00 per location.

The sign guidelines were discussed:

- Must be a registered neighborhood association
- Standard size limited to 24 x 18 either horizontal or vertical, unless an exception is warranted
- Must be constructed of .080 aluminum and sourced through a reputable sign company such as TAPCO
- Limited use of red and yellow to minimize competition with traffic signs
- The City reserves the right to approve or reject any design submissions
- Installation fee not to exceed \$50 per sign which includes a pair of standard brackets
- City will work with neighborhoods to determine locations
- Signs mounted on existing light poles by City crews only
- Signs must be flagged toward the sidewalk to avoid plows hitting them, etc.
- Associations responsible for the initial cost of the signs, cost of replacing worn or damaged signs and a liability insurance rider to cover any costs of damage or injury from fallen sign

Mr. Sodnik reported that although there is an appeal to have a nationally renowned artist to design the signs and have fancy poles and brackets the NAC felt it would be unaffordable.

<b>Reginald Baylor design and poles for 10 signs</b>	
Design Fees	\$2,900
Poles, finials and brackets for 10 signs	\$4,480

Cost of 10 Signs	\$1,000
Installation (low estimate)	\$2,000
Insurance Rider	\$200/year
<b>TOTAL COST PER ASSOCIATION</b>	<b>\$10,380</b>
<b>TOTAL FOR ALL ASSOCIATIONS</b>	<b>\$249,120</b>

Mr. Sodnik said based on this information, the staff suggestion is to go ahead with the process similar to the City of Milwaukee.

Mr. Sodnik said of the 24 neighborhood associations, seven to nine may be interested. He said the Lowell Damon neighborhood maybe spearheaded the movement to do this. He prefaced the need for a consistent process. The City of Milwaukee has been doing it this way for years with a decent product, and to model that would be a good move for the city.

Ald. Wilke expressed disappointment that he wasn't noticed on the NAC meeting as he would have liked to attend the meeting. He felt the NAC may be holding back on this is because the entire cost of this is being pushed on them. A city like Wauwatosa should consider whether in part or whole funding this. We should strive to do something better than what the City of Milwaukee does. He felt the brackets should be a custom laser cut bracket and he likes the idea of the signs having their own pole. It would make it more aesthetically pleasing. We should look at the City funding this, it would be worth investing in ourselves. He felt it would be a huge burden for the NAC to cover this cost. If nothing else the City should take on the maintenance and liability. Ald. Wilke felt there should be some consistency throughout the City while keeping the neighborhood uniqueness. He suggested that more research be done regarding funding, better custom brackets and the cost of insurance.

Ald. Byrne said she likes the idea of an artist but probably is cost prohibitive. She didn't feel that the neighborhood associations should be on the hook for insurance. She questioned what a bare bone sign would cost. Mr. Sodnik it depends on the number of signs, etc. She wondered if there was any way to tie this into a grant. Ald. Byrne felt it would be a nice addition to the City if we can get this going.

Ald. Stippich asked if it was known how the City of Milwaukee rolled their sign program out. Is it known if the neighborhood association or the City of Milwaukee paid for the signs? Mr. Sodnik said that the signs were paid for by the neighborhoods. He felt that it is a great idea although the best aesthetically pleasing signs are cost prohibitive. He would love to see some consistency and felt it would provide identity to the really strong associations in the city.

Ald. Wilson thought there was initiative to brand the neighborhoods in Milwaukee, some cohesive identity project. He liked the idea of some laser cut steel brackets to look a little different. He thought maybe that could be the part the city contributes to for a cohesive look throughout the city.

Ald. Byrne questioned whether the City has an artistic intern that would be willing to go to the neighborhood associations to guide them on designs or provide assistance if the association doesn't have any ideas.

Ald. Pantuso noted there is quite a bit of difference in the City of Milwaukee signs, but they all have the same sign bracket. He wondered if MIAD or Peck School of the Arts would be interested in undertaking a project, maybe even giving them some money for doing it. He didn't know if people would realize all the brackets are the same unless it was something really special. Ald. Pantuso felt we should look at some kind of granting program to get the ball rolling. It is not feasible for the City to pick up the cost, although it would be nice to meet them part-way. He wondered if there would be some aesthetic grants outside of the City that could be applied for.

Ald. Stippich added that it appears that in some of the Milwaukee neighborhood associations, some type of CDBG funds were used. Possibly it was a matching type fund. He felt this would provide some incentive to get the neighborhoods moving on this.

The Administrative Intern was asked to bring back to committee information received from their comments.

The meeting adjourned at 9:05 p.m.

#### Future Community Development Committee items

- Mayfair Corridor Planning Study
- Non-postal delivery options for City Newsletter
- Policy concerning issuance of remaining "Class B" Reserve liquor licenses
- Ordinance amendment concerning aldermanic representation on the CDA & Plan Commission
- Aldermanic selection process when vacancy occurs
- Policy for parking permits in neighborhoods
- Inclusion of e-cigarettes in current smoke-free ordinance (11-25-2014)
- Request for 90-day trial of rush-hour only parking restrictions at 3122 Mayfair Road (11-25-2014)
- Bee-keeping
- Citizen notification process discussion
- Structure of Council committees

---

Carla A. Ledesma, CMC, City Clerk